

Brent Caslin (Cal. Bar. No. 198682)  
JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
633 West Fifth Street  
Suite 3600  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: 213 239-5100  
Facsimile: 213 239-5199  
bcaslin@jenner.com

Terrence J. Truax (*pro hac vice*)  
Michael T. Brody (*pro hac vice*)  
JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
353 North Clark Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60654-3456  
Telephone: 312 222-9350  
Facsimile: 312 527-0484  
ttruax@jenner.com  
mbrody@jenner.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric US, Inc. and Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc.*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In Re: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust  
Litigation

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates To:

*Electrograph Systems, Inc. et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05724;*

*Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261;*

*Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05264;*

*Interbond Corporation of America v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05727;*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  
PORTIONS OF ITS REPLY IN SUPPORT  
OF MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC'S MOTION  
TO DISMISS LATE-FILED  
COMPLAINTS PURSUANT TO CIVIL  
LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79-5(d)**

Date: February 7, 2014

Time: 10 a.m.

Judge: Honorable Samuel Conti

1 *Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No.*  
2 *13-cv-05726;*

3 *Costco Wholesale Corporation v. Technicolor*  
4 *SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05723;*

5 *P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corporation, et*  
6 *al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 31:cv-05725;*

7 *Schultze Agency Services, LLC, o/b/o Tweeter*  
8 *Opco, LLC, et al. v. Technicolor SA, Ltd., et al.,*  
9 *No. 13-cv-05668;*

10 *Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.*  
11 *Technicolor SA, No. 3:13-cv-05262;*

12 *Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-*  
13 *05686*

1 On February 7, 2014, Defendants Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric US,  
2 Inc., and Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc. (collectively, "Mitsubishi Electric")  
3 filed an Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Mitsubishi Electric's Reply In Support Of  
4 Mitsubishi Electric's Motion To Dismiss Late-Filed Complaints.

5 Having read and considered the papers filed and arguments made by counsel, and good  
6 cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Mitsubishi Electric's Administrative Motion To  
7 Seal Portions of Its Reply In Support Of Mitsubishi Electric's Motion To Dismiss Late-Filed  
8 Complaints Pursuant To Local Civil Rules 7-11 And 79-5(d) is GRANTED.

9  
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

11  
12 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
13 Hon. Samuel Conti  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26